Subject: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by pilpelet on Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:59:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi ,
Just a small questune abount 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 branch .
Is this latest release include cpus & cpulimit options ?
Im asking seens we work with 2.6.24 and this doesnt include those options .

Tahnks ,

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by pilpelet on Wed, 03 Feb 2010 12:12:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi ,
Nobody from the development guys read this and can unsaware ?

Thanks ,

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by maratrus on Sat, 13 Feb 2010 11:11:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Suppose this link would be useful in your case
http://bugzilla.openvz.org/show_bug.cgi?id=909

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by pilpelet on Mon, 15 Feb 2010 11:45:04 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi ,
Yes iv seen this bug report , notice that someone else asked the same questune in the last post on the bug report ,
with no direct answare from openvz development
they said it will be in future realases on 2.6.27 branch
and there is new release of that branch but with no proper documentation on that issue .

Thanks ,

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by maratrus on Mon, 15 Feb 2010 19:00:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The status of the bug is "NEW" so it's not solved yet.

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by pilpelet on Thu, 18 Feb 2010 09:22:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi ,
I was hoping someone from the development could say a simple yes or no on that issue .

Thanks ,

Subject: Re: 2.6.27-chistyakov.1 and cpulimit/cpus questune
Posted by maratrus on Sat, 20 Feb 2010 08:33:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Pilpelet,

don't hesitate to ask such a question directly in bug report.
More people will ask more important the bug will be