Subject: checkpoint vs iptables-save,
Posted by Dariush Pietrzak on Sun, 02 Mar 2008 18:33:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,
 recently I noticed this:
CPT ERR: failed to exec iptables-save: -2
CPT ERR: f76cb000,1089 :iptables-save exited with 255
 this is fixable by such workaround: ln -s /bin/true /sbin/iptables-save
but it probably would be nicer to skip this check if iptables-save script
does not exist(or turn this into warning).

--
Key fingerprint = 40D0 9FFB 9939 7320 8294 05E0 BCC7 02C4 75CC 50D9
 Total Existance Failure

Subject: Re: checkpoint vs iptables-save,
Posted by Andrey Mirkin on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 08:34:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

If you are not going to use iptables rules inside VE, then you can set
IPTABLES="" in VE config.
After that iptables will not be used inside this VE and during checkpointing
iptables-save will not be called.

BTW, more easier workaround is to install iptables-save/restore utils inside
this VE.

Regards,
Andrey

On Sunday 02 March 2008 21:33 Dariush Pietrzak wrote:
> Hi,
> recently I noticed this:
> CPT ERR: failed to exec iptables-save: -2
> CPT ERR: f76cb000,1089 :iptables-save exited with 255
> this is fixable by such workaround: ln -s /bin/true /sbin/iptables-save
> but it probably would be nicer to skip this check if iptables-save script
> does not exist(or turn this into warning).

Subject: Re: checkpoint vs iptables-save,
Posted by Dariush Pietrzak on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 13:56:55 GMT

> If you are not going to use iptables rules inside VE, then you can set
> IPTABLES="" in VE config.
ok, this is good, thanks

> BTW, more easier workaround is to install iptables-save/restore utils inside
> this VE.
it's only easier in the sense that it's easier to install full set of dvds
of SuSE software just to be able to run web server, instead of installing
only what you need.
--
Key fingerprint = 40D0 9FFB 9939 7320 8294 05E0 BCC7 02C4 75CC 50D9
Total Existance Failure