
Subject: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 08:35:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, guys.

We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups development status.

I think it worth making this one.

Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 09:38:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

* Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org> [2008-01-16 11:35:11]:

> Hi, guys.

>

> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
> development status.

>

> I think it worth making this one.

>

> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.

>

> Thanks,
> Pavel

Hi, Pavel,

Sounds like a good idea to me.

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 09:40:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Hi, guys.
>
> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
> development status.
>
> I think it worth making this one.

OK. Let's work on an abstract.

> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.

Yes !

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 10:22:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>> Hi, guys.
>>
>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're

>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
>> development status.
>>
>> I think it worth making this one.
>
> OK. Let's work on an abstract.

Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can take care of it ;)

>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.
>
> Yes !

:)

> C.
>

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [den](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 13:16:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

By the way, may be it is better to request a BOF rather than mini-summit to have a presentation of our work for a "outside" world...

Regards,
Den

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>>> Hi, guys.
>>>
>>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
>>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
>>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
>>> development status.
>>>

>>> I think it worth making this one.
>> OK. Let's work on an abstract.
>
> Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be
> responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can
> take care of it ;)
>
>>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.
>> Yes !
>
> :)
>
>> C.
>>
>
> Thanks,
> Pavel
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 14:53:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Denis V. Lunev (den@sw.ru):
> By the way, may be it is better to request a BOF rather than mini-summit
> to have a presentation of our work for a "outside" world...

Or both. All three. BOF for sort of a containers install-fest/tutorial,
paper for a more formal how it's implemented, and finally a mini-summit
for future planning.

> Regards,
> Den
>
> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> > Cedric Le Goater wrote:
> >> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> >>> Hi, guys.
> >>>
> >>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
> >>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
> >>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups

> >>> development status.
> >>>
> >>> I think it worth making this one.
> >> OK. Let's work on an abstract.
> >
> > Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be
> > responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can
> > take care of it ;)
> >
> >>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.
> >> Yes !
> >
> > :)
> >
> >> C.
> >>
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Pavel
> >

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [kir](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:08:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Guess we can have both. BOF format is a bit different (not too much time, more people), so better to have mini-summit first.

BTW does anybody knows whether the Kernel Summit will be "attached" to OLS this year?

Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> By the way, may be it is better to request a BOF rather than mini-summit
> to have a presentation of our work for a "outside" world...

>
> Regards,
> Den

>
> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>
>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>
>>> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>>>
>>>> Hi, guys.
>>>>
>>>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
>>>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
>>>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
>>>> development status.
>>>>
>>>> I think it worth making this one.
>>>>
>>> OK. Let's work on an abstract.
>>>
>> Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be
>> responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can
>> take care of it ;)
>>
>>
>>>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.
>>>>
>>> Yes !
>>>
>> :)
>>
>>
>>> C.
>>>
>>>
>> Thanks,
>> Pavel
>>
>>
>
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:34:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
> Guess we can have both. BOF format is a bit different (not too much
> time, more people), so better to have mini-summit first.

Yes. I agree.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:40:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

* Kir Kolyshkin <kir@openvz.org> [2008-01-16 19:08:55]:

> Guess we can have both. BOF format is a bit different (not too much time,
> more people), so better to have mini-summit first.
>

Yes, and OLS has explicitly asked for proposals to mini-summits

> BTW does anybody knows whether the Kernel Summit will be "attached" to OLS
> this year?
>

I thought it was going to be held in Portland this year.

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
