
Subject: [PATCH] Use list_first_entry in locks_wake_up_blocks
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 19 Sep 2007 12:44:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This routine deletes all the elements from the list with the "while (!list_empty())" loop, and we already have a list_first_entry() macro to help it look nicer :)

Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

```
diff --git a/fs/locks.c b/fs/locks.c
index 746dc70..5fa072a 100644
--- a/fs/locks.c
+++ b/fs/locks.c
@@ -534,7 +534,9 @@ static void locks_insert_block(struct fi
 static void locks_wake_up_blocks(struct file_lock *blocker)
 {
     while (!list_empty(&blocker->fl_block)) {
- struct file_lock *waiter = list_entry(blocker->fl_block.next,
+ struct file_lock *waiter;
+
+ waiter = list_first_entry(&blocker->fl_block,
     struct file_lock, fl_block);
     __locks_delete_block(waiter);
     if (waiter->fl_lmops && waiter->fl_lmops->fl_notify)
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Use list_first_entry in locks_wake_up_blocks
Posted by [bfields](#) on Wed, 19 Sep 2007 19:22:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Sep 19, 2007 at 04:44:07PM +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> This routine deletes all the elements from the list
> with the "while (!list_empty())" loop, and we already
> have a list_first_entry() macro to help it look nicer :)

OK.

--b.

>
> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
>
> ---
>
> diff --git a/fs/locks.c b/fs/locks.c

```
> index 746dc70..5fa072a 100644
> --- a/fs/locks.c
> +++ b/fs/locks.c
> @@ -534,7 +534,9 @@ static void locks_insert_block(struct fi
> static void locks_wake_up_blocks(struct file_lock *blocker)
> {
> while (!list_empty(&blocker->fl_block)) {
> - struct file_lock *waiter = list_entry(blocker->fl_block.next,
> + struct file_lock *waiter;
> +
> + waiter = list_first_entry(&blocker->fl_block,
>   struct file_lock, fl_block);
>   __locks_delete_block(waiter);
>   if (waiter->fl_lmops && waiter->fl_lmops->fl_notify)
```
