Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer
Posted by serue on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:47:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Cedric Le Goater (clg@fr.ibm.com):
> Dave Hansen wrote:
> > On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
> >> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and
> >> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.
> >
> > And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while
> > you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)
>
> right there's always a reason for a patchset :) The freezer is something
> already used by openvz. IBM's Metacluster uses a from kernel SIGSTOP signal.
>
> I would be in favor of adding a new c/r signal like other operating systems
> have done today and in the past. This is something to discuss.

What do you mean by "would be in favor of" - you'd prefer that to this
subsystem?

I definately prefer using the freezer subsystem.

-serge

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers