Subject: Re: pspace name
Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 16:10:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cedric Le Goater [clg@fr.ibm.com] wrote:
| all,
|
| 'pspace' sounds wrong when you know about the other namespaces :
|
| struct nsproxy {
| atomic_t count;
| spinlock_t nslock;
| struct uts_namespace *uts_ns;
| struct ipc_namespace *ipc_ns;
| struct user_namespace *user_ns;
| struct namespace *namespace;
| };
|
| 'proc_namespace' might be confusing, what about 'task_namespace' ?

I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid_space to "rhyme" wiht
pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,
specially after the is_init() collision recently.
|
| 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like 'mnt_namespace' ?

While at it, should we change CLONE_NEWNS to CLONE_MNTNS ?
|
| C.
| _____
| Containers mailing list
| Containers@lists.osdl.org
| https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: pspace name
Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 19:52:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:

> I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid_space to "rhyme" wiht
> pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,
> specially after the is_init() collision recently.

> |
> | 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like 'mnt_namespace' ?
>
> While at it, should we change CLONE_NEWNS to CLONE_MNTNS ?

We need to retain the NEW in the clone flags. CLONE_NEWMNTNS perhaps.
Otherwise with clone at least we get impression of backward semantics.

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: pspace name
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sat, 09 Sep 2006 04:11:04 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 01:52:39PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:
>
> > I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid_space to "rhyme" wiht
> > pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,
> > specially after the is_init() collision recently.
> > |
> > | 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like
> > | 'mnt_namespace' ?

> > While at it, should we change CLONE_NEWNS to CLONE_MNTNS ?
>
> We need to retain the NEW in the clone flags. CLONE_NEWMNTNS perhaps.
> Otherwise with clone at least we get impression of backward semantics.

hmm, got no comment to my suggestion to use VFS instead
of MNT or mount, was it silently ignored, disliked, or
just lost?

best,
Herbert

> Eric
> _____
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.osdl.org
> https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

_____
Containers mailing list