

---

Subject: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [serge](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2007 17:47:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit representing this work.

We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

So we have a few options:

1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.
2. there is a possibility of using a part of a conference room from LCE, if attendance will be low. However, having a phone line to include those who cannot attend would be unlikely.
3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could continue to seek a separate venue for the mini-summit.

Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b) attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something else.

thanks,  
-serge

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [Rohit Seth](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 01:29:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2007-08-08 at 12:47 -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> representing this work.

>

> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be

- > too low to justify having a real mini-summit.
- >
- > So we have a few options:
- >
- > 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.
- > 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a
- > conference room from LCE, if attendance will
- > be low. However, having a phone line to include
- > those who cannot attend would be unlikely.
- > 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could
- > continue to seek a separate venue for the
- > mini-summit.
- >

I think given the short time that is left for doing travel etc. (considering it is long weekend here in USA) it will be tough to do this in person.

I will be interested in attending this on phone though (and will have couple more folks from Google join in).

- > Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)
- > attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?
- >
- > For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at
- > the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is
- > properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality
- > from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is
- > interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something
- > else.

Since Eric/Paul will be attending the KS and giving them an update, it will be very useful if we can all agree on some set of features that will be useful for containers and for which we need 1- input from folks in KS and 2- let them know that this is high on priority list.

-rohit

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [xemul](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 08:29:06 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

- > I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development
- > roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit

> representing this work.  
>  
> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
>  
> So we have a few options:  
>  
> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
> mini-summit.  
>  
> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

I will be there in person.

> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
> from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
> else.

I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of  
what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all  
can have an overall picture in sight.

> thanks,  
> -serge  
>

Thanks,  
Pavel

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [serue](#) on Thu, 09 Aug 2007 19:31:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):  
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> >I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development

> >roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> >representing this work.  
> >  
> >We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> >kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> >too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
> >  
> >So we have a few options:  
> >  
> > 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
> > 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
> > conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
> > be low. However, having a phone line to include  
> > those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
> > 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
> > continue to seek a separate venue for the  
> > mini-summit.  
> >  
> >Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
> >attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?  
>  
> I will be there in person.

Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)

> >For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
> >the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
> >properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
> >from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
> >interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
> >else.  
>  
> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of  
> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all  
> can have an overall picture in sight.

Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of what's been accomplished so far?

I suppose that might be a good way of showing the community that this is all work in progress and going along nicely, not brand-new development. Pavel, do you mind writing that up?

Eric, do you mind at the mini-summit (or mini-summit phone call) going over what you were going to present at the kernel summit?

thanks,  
-serge

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [kir](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 00:14:12 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> representing this work.  
>  
> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
>  
> So we have a few options:  
>  
> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
> mini-summit.  
>  
> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?  
>

I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).

> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
> from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
> else.  
>  
> thanks,  
> -serge  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 08:16:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):

>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development

>>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit

>>> representing this work.

>>>

>>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the

>>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be

>>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

>>>

>>> So we have a few options:

>>>

>>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.

>>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a

>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will

>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include

>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.

>>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could

>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the

>>> mini-summit.

>>>

>>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)

>>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>> I will be there in person.

>

> Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul

> would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel

> rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)

Well, actually, I'd prefer meeting in person even if there will be only 4 people. Talking tete-a-tete is always better than phone-a-phone :)

Except for to meet in some cafe/park in case not many people come.

>>> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at

>>> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is

>>> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality

>> >from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is

>>> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something

>>> else.

>> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of

>> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all

>> can have an overall picture in sight.

>

> Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of  
> what's been accomplished so far?

Not exactly. The entire picture. E.g. I have no idea of what is going on with network namespaces and checkpoint/restart. I'd also like to know what people think about the kernel memory resource management that was discussed long ago and some more questions.

> I suppose that might be a good way of showing the community that this is  
> all work in progress and going along nicely, not brand-new development.  
> Pavel, do you mind writing that up?

I do not :) I do not even mind making a presentation and showing it up in the mini-summit, but as I have told I haven't got a complete picture of what's happening. Actually I admitted that everyone has some gaps in their knowledges about the overall situation and thought that the person, responsible for this presentation would spend some time collecting the information from other people :)

> Eric, do you mind at the mini-summit (or mini-summit phone call) going  
> over what you were going to present at the kernel summit?  
>  
> thanks,  
> -serge  
>

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [serge](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 13:18:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):  
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> >Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):  
> >>Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> >>>I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
> >>>roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> >>>representing this work.  
> >>>  
> >>>We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> >>>kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> >>>too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
> >>>

> >>> So we have a few options:

> >>>

> >>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.

> >>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a

> >>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will

> >>> be low. However, having a phone line to include

> >>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.

> >>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could

> >>> continue to seek a separate venue for the

> >>> mini-summit.

> >>>

> >>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)

> >>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

> >> I will be there in person.

> >

> > Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul

> > would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel

> > rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)

>

> Well, actually, I'd prefer meeting in person even if there will be

That's what I meant - if there are <6 people, meet in person in someone's hotel room, and then you've got a phone right there so everyone else can dial in.

> only 4 people. Talking tete-a-tete is always better than phone-a-phone :)

>

> Except for to meet in some cafe/park in case not many people come.

>

> >>> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at

> >>> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is

> >>> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality

> >>> from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is

> >>> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something

> >>> else.

> >> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of

> >> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all

> >> can have an overall picture in sight.

> >

> > Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of

> > what's been accomplished so far?

>

> Not exactly. The entire picture. E.g. I have no idea of what is going on

> with network namespaces and checkpoint/restart. I'd also like to know what

> people think about the kernel memory resource management that was discussed

> long ago and some more questions.

We could certainly come up with a set of 'status updates' if people



would like that. I.e.

pidns updates - Pavel/suka  
netns updates - Eric/Daniel/Benjamin  
task containers - Paul  
memory container - Balbir  
memory checkpoint - Dave  
what do vserver/openvz want to see upstream next - Herbert/Kirill  
etc

Also if people are interested we could try to do something like that every 1 or 2 months, presumably by phone most of the time, though we could try to always sync it with some conference or other and see whether a few people can meet in person just for fun.

> >I suppose that might be a good way of showing the community that this is  
> >all work in progress and going along nicely, not brand-new development.  
> >Pavel, do you mind writing that up?  
>  
> I do not :) I do not even mind making a presentation and showing it up in  
> the mini-summit, but as I have told I haven't got a complete picture of  
> what's happening. Actually I admitted that everyone has some gaps in  
> their knowledges about the overall situation and thought that the person,  
> responsible for this presentation would spend some time collecting the  
> information from other people :)  
>  
> >Eric, do you mind at the mini-summit (or mini-summit phone call) going  
> >over what you were going to present at the kernel summit?  
> >  
> >thanks,  
> >-serge

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [serue](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 14:31:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Kir Kolyshkin (kir@openvz.org):  
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> > I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
> > roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> > representing this work.  
> >  
> > We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the

> > kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> > too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
> >  
> > So we have a few options:  
> >  
> > 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
> > 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
> > conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
> > be low. However, having a phone line to include  
> > those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
> > 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
> > continue to seek a separate venue for the  
> > mini-summit.  
> >  
> > Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
> > attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?  
> >  
>  
> I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).

Ok so far we have Pavel, Kir, Kirill, and hopefully Eric, Paul, and Cedric. Balbir, did I hear a rumor that you might be able to go?

That may be getting too large for a hotel room :)

Would one of you folks definitely going be willing to pick up where I left off in trying to get time in a room at LCE? Let me know and I'll send you the name of the person I'd contacted (once I find it again...)

(Still that only really works if we can get someone to show up with an ip speakerphone so the rest of us can dial in.)

thanks,  
-serge

> > For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
> > the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
> > properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
> > from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
> > interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
> > else.  
> >  
> > thanks,  
> > -serge  
> >  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
> Containers mailing list  
> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [dev](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 16:59:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Kir Kolyshkin (kir@openvz.org):

>

>>Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>

>>>I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
>>>roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
>>>representing this work.

>>>

>>>We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
>>>kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
>>>too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

>>>

>>>So we have a few options:

>>>

>>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
>>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
>>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
>>> mini-summit.

>>>

>>>Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
>>>attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>>>

>>

>>I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).

>

>

> Ok so far we have Pavel, Kir, Kirill, and hopefully Eric, Paul, and  
> Cedric. Balbir, did I hear a rumer that you might be able to go?

No, I won't be there. If I'm not going as KLS attendee, then I won't have a visa :/

Kirill

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [serge](#) on Fri, 10 Aug 2007 18:02:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Kirill Korotaev (dev@sw.ru):  
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> > Quoting Kir Kolyshkin (kir@openvz.org):  
> >  
> >> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
> >>  
> >>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
> >>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
> >>> representing this work.  
> >>>  
> >>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
> >>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
> >>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
> >>>  
> >>> So we have a few options:  
> >>>  
> >>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
> >>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
> >>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
> >>> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
> >>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
> >>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
> >>> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
> >>> mini-summit.  
> >>>  
> >>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
> >>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?  
> >>>  
> >>  
> >> I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).  
> >  
> >  
> > Ok so far we have Pavel, Kir, Kirill, and hopefully Eric, Paul, and  
> > Cedric. Balbir, did I hear a rumer that you might be able to go?  
>  
> No, I won't be there. If I'm not going as KLS attendee, then I won't have a visa :/

Sorry, the two responses from Kir apparently had me confused :)

-serge

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 13 Aug 2007 08:59:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):

>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):

>>>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>>>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development

>>>>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit

>>>>> representing this work.

>>>>>

>>>>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the

>>>>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be

>>>>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

>>>>>

>>>>> So we have a few options:

>>>>>

>>>>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.

>>>>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a

>>>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will

>>>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include

>>>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.

>>>>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could

>>>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the

>>>>> mini-summit.

>>>>>

>>>>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)

>>>>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>>>> I will be there in person.

>>> Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul

>>> would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel

>>> rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)

>> Well, actually, I'd prefer meeting in person even if there will be

>

> That's what I meant - if there are <6 people, meet in person in

> someone's hotel room, and then you've got a phone right there so

> everyone else can dial in.

>

>> only 4 people. Talking tete-a-tete is always better than phone-a-phone :)  
>>  
>> Except for to meet in some cafe/park in case not many people come.  
>>  
>>>>> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
>>>>> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
>>>>> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
>>>>> >from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
>>>>> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
>>>>> else.  
>>>> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of  
>>>> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all  
>>>> can have an overall picture in sight.  
>>> Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of  
>>> what's been accomplished so far?  
>> Not exactly. The entire picture. E.g. I have no idea of what is going on  
>> with network namespaces and checkpoint/restart. I'd also like to know what  
>> people think about the kernel memory resource management that was discussed  
>> long ago and some more questions.  
>  
> We could certainly come up with a set of 'status updates' if people  
> would like that. I.e.  
>  
> pidns updates - Pavel/suka  
> netns updates - Eric/Daniel/Benjamin  
> task containers - Paul  
> memory container - Balbir  
> memory checkpoint - Dave

Thanks. I will prepare some presentation. BTW, maybe we can organize some kind of containers BoF on the conference itself to make other people come and listen. Maybe this will attract more developers to the virtualization? In this case the presentation may be more descriptive.

> what do vserver/openvz want to see upstream next - Herbert/Kirill  
> etc  
>  
> Also if people are interested we could try to do something like that  
> every 1 or 2 months, presumably by phone most of the time, though we  
> could try to always sync it with some conference or other and see  
> whether a few people can meet in person just for fun.  
>  
>>> I suppose that might be a good way of showing the community that this is  
>>> all work in progress and going along nicely, not brand-new development.  
>>> Pavel, do you mind writing that up?  
>> I do not :) I do not even mind making a presentation and showing it up in  
>> the mini-summit, but as I have told I haven't got a complete picture of  
>> what's happening. Actually I admitted that everyone has some gaps in

>> their knowledges about the overall situation and thought that the person,  
>> responsible for this presentation would spend some time collecting the  
>> information from other people :)  
>>  
>>> Eric, do you mind at the mini-summit (or mini-summit phone call) going  
>>> over what you were going to present at the kernel summit?  
>>>  
>>> thanks,  
>>> -serge  
>

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 14 Aug 2007 14:08:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):  
> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@openvz.org):  
>>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
>>>> Quoting Pavel Emelyanov (xemul@sw.ru):  
>>>>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:  
>>>>>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
>>>>>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
>>>>>> representing this work.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
>>>>>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
>>>>>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> So we have a few options:  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.  
>>>>>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
>>>>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
>>>>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
>>>>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.  
>>>>>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
>>>>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
>>>>>> mini-summit.  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
>>>>>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>>>>> I will be there in person.  
>>>> Still waiting to hear whether anyone other than yourself, Eric, and Paul  
>>>> would be there... If not, I assume you could meet in one of your hotel  
>>>> rooms and dial into the phone meeting? :)  
>>> Well, actually, I'd prefer meeting in person even if there will be  
>> That's what I meant - if there are <6 people, meet in person in  
>> someone's hotel room, and then you've got a phone right there so  
>> everyone else can dial in.  
>>> only 4 people. Talking tete-a-tete is always better than phone-a-phone :)  
>>>  
>>> Except for to meet in some cafe/park in case not many people come.  
>>>  
>>>>>> For those interested in attending, what would you expect to happen at  
>>>>>> the mini-summit? a) discuss the roadmap to ensure everyone is  
>>>>>> properly represented at the summit b) go over pieces of functionality  
>>>>>> >from the roadmap and discuss implementation options, who is  
>>>>>> interested in working on what, and possible timelines. c) something  
>>>>>> else.  
>>>>> I think it would be good if someone makes a short presentation of  
>>>>> what is already done and what is being developed now so that we all  
>>>>> can have an overall picture in sight.  
>>>> Hmm, so in front of the current 'roadmap' you'd like to see a list of  
>>>> what's been accomplished so far?  
>>> Not exactly. The entire picture. E.g. I have no idea of what is going on  
>>> with network namespaces and checkpoint/restart. I'd also like to know  
>>> what  
>>> people think about the kernel memory resource management that was  
>>> discussed  
>>> long ago and some more questions.  
>> We could certainly come up with a set of 'status updates' if people  
>> would like that. I.e.  
>> pidns updates - Pavel/suka  
>> netns updates - Eric/Daniel/Benjamin  
>> task containers - Paul  
>> memory container - Balbir  
>> memory checkpoint - Dave  
>  
> Thanks. I will prepare some presentation. BTW, maybe we can organize

Great, thanks.

> some kind of containers BoF on the conference itself to make other  
> people come and listen. Maybe this will attract more developers to the  
> virtualization? In this case the presentation may be more descriptive.

By 'the conference itself' do you mean LCE? That might be worth a shot.

thanks,



-serge

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Tue, 14 Aug 2007 15:08:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

[snip]

>> some kind of containers BoF on the conference itself to make other  
>> people come and listen. Maybe this will attract more developers to the  
>> virtualization? In this case the presentation may be more descriptive.  
>  
> By 'the conference itself' do you mean LCE? That might be worth a shot.

Exactly. I think that other people may find it interesting.

Thanks,  
Pavel

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?  
Posted by [Oren Laadan](#) on Tue, 14 Aug 2007 22:02:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi all,

[very brief intro: I'm with the Zap project; finally have time to join the discussions after a short period of passively watching the list]

There is a good chance I'll be passing nearby, and that would be an excellent opportunity for me to personally meet those who plan to attend, as well as kick-start Zap's involvement, mainly focusing on checkpoint/restart.

I'm not sure if there is already a set date for the mini-summit; I recall the 3rd or 4th were suggested. Since I'll be in transit, it will be helpful to set one so I can plan accordingly. So if not set yet, I vote for the earliest possible (2nd, 3rd or 4th).

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Kir Kolyshkin (kir@openvz.org):

>> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>>> I just sent the next (final) version of the containers development  
>>> roadmap. Eric Biederman and Paul Menage will be at kernel summit  
>>> representing this work.

>>>

>>> We had planned on having a containers mini-summit the day before the  
>>> kernel summit. However interest in that appears as though it may be  
>>> too low to justify having a real mini-summit.

>>>

>>> So we have a few options:

>>>

- >>> 1. we could have a mini-summit purely by phone.
- >>> 2. there is a possibility of using a part of a  
>>> conference room from LCE, if attendance will  
>>> be low. However, having a phone line to include  
>>> those who cannot attend would be unlikely.
- >>> 3. if there is a large planned attendance, we could  
>>> continue to seek a separate venue for the  
>>> mini-summit.

>>>

>>> Who is interested in (a) attending the mini-summit in person, (b)  
>>> attending the mini-summit by phone, or (c) not interested?

>>>

>> I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).

>

> Ok so far we have Pavel, Kir, Kirill, and hopefully Eric, Paul, and  
> Cedric. Balbir, did I hear a rumer that you might be able to go?

[SNIP]

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: containers mini-summit?

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2007 08:16:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>> I will be there in person as well (in readonly^Wobserver mode I guess).

>

> Ok so far we have Pavel, Kir, Kirill, and hopefully Eric, Paul, and  
> Cedric. Balbir, did I hear a rumer that you might be able to go?

>

> That may be getting too large for a hotel room :)

>

Hi, Serge,

I am still catching up with email, yes, I am going to attend the kernel and vm summit.

> Would one of you folks definitely going be willing to pick up where I

> left off in trying to get time in a room at LCE? Let me know and I'll

> send you the name of the person I'd contacted (once I find it again...)

>

> (Still that only really works if we can get someone to show up with an ip

> speakerphone so the rest of us can dial in.)

>

> thanks,

> -serge

>

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---