Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction
Posted by Paul Jackson on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 00:07:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Paul M wrote:
> An alternative would be a way of binding files (or directory
> hierarchies) to a particular set of memory nodes. Then you wouldn't
> need to pre-fault the data. Extended attributes might be one way of
> doing it.

Some of the file system folks have considered such use of extended
attributes, yes.

I remain unaware that any relation between that work and cpusets
exists or should exist.

--

I won't rest till it's the best ...
Programmer, Linux Scalability
Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> 1.925.600.0401

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [patch00/05]: Containers(V2)- Introduction
Posted by Paul Menage on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 00:10:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 9/20/06, Paul Jackson <pj@sgi.com> wrote:
> Some of the file system folks have considered such use of extended
> attributes, yes.
>
> I remain unaware that any relation between that work and cpusets
> exists or should exist.

It doesn't have to be linked to cpusets - but userspace could use it
in conjunction with cpusets to control/account pagecache memory
sharing.

Paul