

---

Subject: ploop and trim/ discard support

Posted by [Corin Langosch](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2012 19:13:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

it seems that when creating & deleting files inside a ploop backed container the backing image file doesn't shrink. So the image file is still consuming around 400 GB, while in the container df shows only 100 GB in use.

```
ll on host: -rw----- 1 root root 384G Sep 12 21:06 root.hdd
df on container: /dev/ploop1      493G 103G 390G 21% /
```

I assume this is because ploop doesn't get informed by the ext4 fs when blocks are no longer in use. Should it help to to mount the ext4 on the ploop device with the "discard" option? I didn't test if it helps yet, but at least I was able to pass the mount option (thanks to kir's recent enhancements) and it started the container without any problems.

```
/dev/ploop1 on / type ext4 (rw,relatime,barrier=0,data=ordered,discard)
```

To free all "free" existing blocks I also tried to do a batch cleanup/trim from inside the container using "fstrim /" but it doesn't work:

```
root@test:~# fstrim /
fstrim: /: FITRIM ioctl failed: Operation not permitted
```

Should this be working or is it not supported yet? Am I on the right path at all? Any better solutions? :)

Corin

---

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support

Posted by [kir](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2012 19:34:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 12, 2012 11:20 PM, "Corin Langosch" <[info@corinlangosch.com](mailto:info@corinlangosch.com)> wrote:

>

> Hi,

>

> it seems that when creating & deleting files inside a ploop backed container the backing image file doesn't shrink. So the image file is still consuming around 400 GB, while in the container df shows only 100 GB in use.

>

```
> ll on host: -rw----- 1 root root 384G Sep 12 21:06 root.hdd
> df on container: /dev/ploop1      493G 103G 390G 21% /
```

We have online shrink. It's "ploop balloon discard" or just "vzctl compact". You'd better have ploop and vzctl from git (both are really close to be released).

>  
> I assume this is because ploop doesn't get informed by the ext4 fs when blocks are no longer in use. Should it help to to mount the ext4 on the ploop device with the "discard" option? I didn't test if it helps yet, but at least I was able to pass the mount option (thanks to kir's recent enhancements) and it started the container without any problems.  
>  
> /dev/ploop1 on / type ext4 (rw,relatime,barrier=0,data=ordered,discard)  
>  
> To free all "free" existing blocks I also tried to do a batch cleanup/trim from inside the container using "fstrim /" but it doesn't work:  
>  
> root@test:~# fstrim /  
> fstrim: /: FITRIM ioctl failed: Operation not permitted  
>  
> Should this be working or is it not supported yet? Am I on the right path at all? Any better solutions? :)  
>  
> Corin  
>

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support  
Posted by [Corin Langosch](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2012 21:38:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12.09.2012 at 21:34 +0200, Kir Kolyshkin <[kir@openvz.org](mailto:kir@openvz.org)> wrote:  
>  
> On Sep 12, 2012 11:20 PM, "Corin Langosch" <[info@corinlangosch.com](mailto:info@corinlangosch.com)>  
> <<mailto:info@corinlangosch.com>>> wrote:  
> >  
> > Hi,  
> >  
> > it seems that when creating & deleting files inside a ploop backed  
> container the backing image file doesn't shrink. So the image file is  
> still consuming around 400 GB, while in the container df shows only  
> 100 GB in use.  
> >  
> > ll on host: -rw----- 1 root root 384G Sep 12 21:06 root.hdd  
> > df on container: /dev/ploop1 493G 103G 390G 21% /  
>  
> We have online shrink. It's "ploop balloon discard" or just "vzctl  
> compact". You'd better have ploop and vzctl from git (both are really

> close to be released).

>

The system's still working heavily but the image is already reduced by around 60 GB so it seems to work well. :)

Would you suggest putting some script into crontab to compact all ploop images ex. once a week? Or probably do something like `ctid%7` and compact a few of them every night?

BTW: the man of `vzctl` contains the "compact" command. But the usage output of `vzctl` doesn't. Shall I file a bug report for it?

BTW 2: I just read about the ploop ballooning technique in the wiki. Am I correct in that it works quite similar to `sdelete` on windows (<http://pastie.org/4710364> or <http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/bb897443.aspx>)?

Thanks!

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support  
Posted by [kir](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2012 22:36:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 09/13/2012 01:38 AM, Corin Langosch wrote:

>

> On 12.09.2012 at 21:34 +0200, Kir Kolyshkin <[kir@openvz.org](mailto:kir@openvz.org)> wrote:

>>

>> On Sep 12, 2012 11:20 PM, "Corin Langosch" <[info@corinlangosch.com](mailto:info@corinlangosch.com)>

>> <<mailto:info@corinlangosch.com>>> wrote:

>> >

>> > Hi,

>> >

>> > it seems that when creating & deleting files inside a ploop backed  
>> container the backing image file doesn't shrink. So the image file is  
>> still consuming around 400 GB, while in the container `df` shows only  
>> 100 GB in use.

>> >

>> > ll on host: -rw----- 1 root root 384G Sep 12 21:06 root.hdd

>> > df on container: /dev/ploop1 493G 103G 390G 21% /

>>

>> We have online shrink. It's "ploop balloon discard" or just "`vzctl compact`". You'd better have ploop and `vzctl` from git (both are really close to be released).

>>

>

> The system's still working heavily but the image is already reduced by

> around 60 GB so it seems to work well. :)  
>  
> Would you suggest putting some script into crontab to compact all  
> ploop images ex. once a week? Or probably do something like ctid%7 and  
> compact a few of them every night?

You can use ploop balloon discard --stat to find out how much space could be compacted, and decide if you need it.

Any contribution in that area is welcome :)

>  
> BTW: the man of vzctl contains the "compact" command. But the usage  
> output of vzctl doesn't. Shall I file a bug report for it?

NP, I have just fixed it  
<http://git.openvz.org/?p=vzctl;a=commitdiff;h=60e4b4>

But the big problem here is vzctl --help output is too heavy.  
I would like to have something that is done for ploop -- you get basic help and if you specify a command you get a command-specific help.

Good patches for that are welcome :)

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support  
Posted by [Kirill Korotaev](#) on Thu, 13 Sep 2012 07:22:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 13, 2012, at 01:38 , Corin Langosch wrote:

>  
> On 12.09.2012 at 21:34 +0200, Kir Kolyshkin <[kir@openvz.org](mailto:kir@openvz.org)> wrote:  
>> On Sep 12, 2012 11:20 PM, "Corin Langosch" <[info@corinlangosch.com](mailto:info@corinlangosch.com)> wrote:  
>>>  
>>> Hi,  
>>>  
>>> it seems that when creating & deleting files inside a ploop backed container the backing image file doesn't shrink. So the image file is still consuming around 400 GB, while in the container df shows only 100 GB in use.  
>>>  
>>> ll on host: -rw----- 1 root root 384G Sep 12 21:06 root.hdd  
>>> df on container: /dev/ploop1 493G 103G 390G 21% /  
>>>  
>>> We have online shrink. It's "ploop balloon discard" or just "vzctl compact". You'd better have ploop and vzctl from git (both are really close to be released).  
>>>  
>>>

> The system's still working heavily but the image is already reduced by around 60 GB so it seems to work well. :)  
>  
> Would you suggest putting some script into crontab to compact all ploop images ex. once a week? Or probably do something like `ctid%7` and compact a few of them every night?  
>  
> BTW: the man of `vzctl` contains the "compact" command. But the usage output of `vzctl` doesn't. Shall I file a bug report for it?  
>  
> BTW 2: I just read about the ploop ballooning technique in the wiki. Am I correct in that it works quite similar to `sdelete` on windows ( <http://pastie.org/4710364> or <http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/bb897443.asp> x)?

No, AFAIR we should use TRIM on ext4 and it simply reports unused space. Balloon is used for "resize" via allocating some space and hiding it from user, but for compacting it's a bit bad since can cause ENOSPC while it's really not...

Kirill

## File Attachments

1) [smime.p7s](#), downloaded 589 times

---

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support  
Posted by [Corin Langosch](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2012 15:53:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 13.09.2012 at 09:22 +0200, Kirill Korotaev <[dev@parallels.com](mailto:dev@parallels.com)> wrote:

>  
> No, AFAIR we should use TRIM on ext4 and it simply reports unused space.  
> Balloon is used for "resize" via allocating some space and hiding it  
> from user, but for compacting it's a bit bad since can cause ENOSPC  
> while it's really not...  
>

So this whole ballooning is only a work around as trim/ discard support for ext4 is only available in kernel >= 2.6.33? Once openvz is rebased to a newer kernel (3.2.x?) it can/ will be dropped? :)

Corin

---

---

Subject: Re: ploop and trim/ discard support  
Posted by [Kirill Korotaev](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2012 16:46:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 17, 2012, at 19:53 , Corin Langosch <[corin.langosch@netskin.com](mailto:corin.langosch@netskin.com)> wrote:

>  
> On 13.09.2012 at 09:22 +0200, Kirill Korotaev <dev@parallels.com> wrote:  
>>  
>> No, AFAIR we should use TRIM on ext4 and it simply reports unused space.  
>> Balloon is used for "resize" via allocating some space and hiding it  
>> from user, but for compacting it's a bit bad since can cause ENOSPC  
>> while it's really not...  
>>  
>  
> So this whole ballooning is only a work around as trim/ discard support  
> for ext4 is only available in kernel >= 2.6.33? Once openvz is rebased  
> to a newer kernel (3.2.x?) it can/ will be dropped? :)  
>

You've mixed 2 different scenarios:

1. vzctl set --diskpace

When you resize CT to smaller sizes we do not want to resize live file system and move data around causing I/O.

So we use balloon to reserve some space in CT and "pretend" that CT was made smaller.

TRIM has nothing to do with this scenario, cause it wouldn't prevent file system from allocating its free space.

2. compacting

When CT has used some space and then files were removed image requires "compaction" to free this space back to host.

This is where both ballooning and TRIMing can help. But ballooning reserves disk space, so it can lead to ENOSPC inside CT and thus is better to avoid (remember, it reserves space!).

TRIM on the other hand is a standard way to cause file system to report it's unused space and this is what we use.

TRIM support present in our RHEL6 kernels, so switching to >= 2.6.33 is not required and won't result in any benefits in this area.

Thanks,  
Kirill

## File Attachments

1) [smime.p7s](#), downloaded 572 times

---