
Subject: Re: [PATCH, try3, 0/3] Separate logfile and console loglevel
Posted by [Igor Sukhih](#) on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 16:09:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kristian Høgh wrote:

> On Thursday 07 December 2006 14:23, Kir Kolyshkin wrote:

>

>> Dmitry Mishin wrote:

>>

>>> On Thursday 07 December 2006 15:47, Igor Sukhih wrote:

>>>

>>>> Kir Kolyshkin wrote:

>>>>

>>>>> Kristian F. Høgh wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> If I type "vzctl set 1001 ...", I would assume everything went OK,

>>>>>> if I get no output.

>>>>>>

>>>>>> Agreed, this is true UNIX way :)

>>>>>

>>>> Lets do not display any info messages by default, go by UNIX way :)

>>>>

> Thats not what the patches do.

> If VERBOSE=0 a start will generate 3-5lines of code. (Down from 10)

> If VERBOSE=-1 it will be quiet.

> If VERBOSE=1 a start will generate the same as today

> (Except "Running script...")

>

>

>>> Probably, global poll helps? ;)

>>>

>>> I think, the default console level is secondary question indeed.

>>>

> Incrementing logger(..) and LOG_LEVEL, will not touch default output.

> And will give option for VERBOSE=0 or --verbose=0

>

>

>>> Split of console level and log level is usefull. So, my opinion is to

>>> leave default level AS IS, because somebody may use this output

>>> somewhere in his scripts (it's bad, but who knows these users? ;)).

>>> Kristian may intro alias in his shell in order to decrease level to `no

>>> output` value.

>>>

> An option. It stille requires some logger(0, ...) -> logger(1, ...),

> as I don't want "no output" but more quiet output.

>

Please describe NEW logger policy, how I will decide that log level
should be used for messages

to be still quiet for you?

--

Igor.
