
Subject: Re: [PATCH, try3, 0/3] Separate logfile and console loglevel
Posted by kfh.openvzmail on Thu, 07 Dec 2006 15:46:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday 07 December 2006 14:23, Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
> Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> > On Thursday 07 December 2006 15:47, Igor Sukhih wrote:
> > > Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
> > > > Kristian F. Høgh wrote:
> > > > If I type "vzctl set 1001 ...", I would assume everything went OK,
> > > > if I get no output.
> > > >
> > > > Agreed, this is true UNIX way :)
> > >
> > > Lets do not display any info messages by default, go by UNIX way :)
Thats not what the patches do.
If VERBOSE=0 a start will generate 3-5lines of code. (Down from 10)
If VERBOSE=-1 it will be quiet.
If VERBOSE=1 a start will generate the same as today
(Except "Running script...")

> > Probably, global poll helps? ;)
> >
> > I think, the default console level is secondary question indeed.
Incrementing logger(..) and LOG_LEVEL, will not touch default output.
And will give option for VERBOSE=0 or --verbose=0

> > Split of console level and log level is usefull. So, my opinion is to
> > leave default level AS IS, because somebody may use this output
> > somewhere in his scripts (it's bad, but who knows these users? ;)).
> > Kristian may intro alias in his shell in order to decrease level to `no
> > output` value.
An option. It stille requires some logger(0, ...) -> logger(1, ...),
as I don't want "no output" but more quiet output.

> I'd rather have a config option than an alias.
Me too

/Kristian.
