
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC] Resource Management - Infrastructure choices
Posted by [Chris Friesen](#) on Wed, 01 Nov 2006 21:18:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

>>> - Support limit (soft and/or hard depending on the resource
>>> type) in controllers. Guarantee feature could be indirectly
>>> met thr limits.

I just thought I'd weigh in on this. As far as our usage pattern is concerned, guarantees cannot be met via limits.

I want to give "x" cpu to container X, "y" cpu to container Y, and "z" cpu to container Z.

If these are percentages, $x+y+z$ must be less than 100.

However, if Y does not use its share of the cpu, I would like the leftover cpu time to be made available to X and Z, in a ratio based on their allocated weights.

With limits, I don't see how I can get the ability for containers to make opportunistic use of cpu that becomes available.

I can see that with things like memory this could become tricky (How do you free up memory that was allocated to X when Y decides that it really wants it after all?) but for CPU I think it's a valid scenario.

Chris
