Subject: Re: Re: pspace name
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 10:59:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, Sep 11, 2006 at 12:37:44PM +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
> Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> > On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 01:52:39PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> >> Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:
> >>
> >>> I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid_space to "rhyme" wiht
> >>> pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,
> >>> specially after the is_init() collision recently.
> >>> |
> >>> | 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like
> >>> | 'mnt_namespace' ?
> > >
> >>> While at it, should we change CLONE_NEWNS to CLONE_MNTNS ?
> >> We need to retain the NEW in the clone flags. CLONE_NEWMNTNS
> >> perhaps. Otherwise with clone at least we get impression of
> >> backward semantics.
> > >
> > hmm, got no comment to my suggestion to use VFS instead
> > of MNT or mount, was it silently ignored, disliked, or
> > just lost?
>
> mount point namespace is probably a bit more 'intuitive' than VFS
> namespace ?

hmm, depends for whom, but yes, maybe CLONE_NEWMNTNS is more
understandable than CLONE_NEWVFSNS ... of course, CLONE_NEW_MNT
or CLONE_NEW_VFS would still be better ... anyway not a big deal,
thanks for the feedback

best,
Herbert

> C.
> _____
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.osdl.org
> https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers