Subject: Re: Re: pspace name
Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 10:37:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 01:52:39PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:
>>
>>> I agree we should rename. I had suggested pid_space to "rhyme" wiht
>>> pidmap, but received no ack/nack. I meant to send another mail,
>>> specially after the is_init() collision recently.
>>> |
>>> | 'namespace' should probably be renamed to something like
>>> | 'mnt_namespace' ?
>
>>> While at it, should we change CLONE_NEWNS to CLONE_MNTNS ?
>> We need to retain the NEW in the clone flags. CLONE_NEWMNTNS perhaps.
>> Otherwise with clone at least we get impression of backward semantics.
>
> hmm, got no comment to my suggestion to use VFS instead
> of MNT or mount, was it silently ignored, disliked, or
> just lost?

mount point namespace is probably a bit more 'intuitive' than VFS namespace ?

C.