

---

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/2] user namespace [try #2]

Posted by [dev](#) on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 16:05:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>>imho this is acceptable for OpenVZ as makes VE files to be  
>>inaccessible from host. At least this is how I understand your  
>>idea... Am I correct?  
>>  
>>  
>>>I assume the list of other things we'll need to consider includes  
>>> signals between user namespaces  
>>> keystore  
>>> sys\_setpriority and the like  
>>>I might argue that all of these should be sufficiently protected  
>>>by proper setup by userspace. Can you explain why that is not  
>>>the case?  
>  
>  
>>The same requirement (ability to send signals from host to VE)  
>>is also applicable to signals.  
>  
>  
> at some point, we tried to move all cross context  
> signalling (from the host to the guests) into a special  
> context, but later on we moved away from that, because  
> it was much simpler and more intuitive to handle the  
> signalling with a separate syscall command  
I'm not sure what a separate context is for, but a separate syscall  
is definitely not a good idea.

> what I want to point out here is, that things like  
> sending signals across namespaces is something which  
> is not required to make this work  
well, people have different requirements...

Kirill

---