

---

Subject: Re: ATM support...?

Posted by [john.robinson](#) on Fri, 01 Sep 2006 10:10:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I didn't include logs 'cos I'd already diagnosed the problem (missing ATM support). "Not properly" in this case means startup hung trying to start ppp0.

Sorry, my bad; shouldn't compare the output of `ls` (i.e. packaged size) with the output of `rpm -qi` (i.e. expanded size).

Yes, I will do, but that was rather the point of my post: is there any particular reason the OpenVZ kernel rpms for RHEL4/CentOS4 aren't built as drop-in replacements for the original distribution kernels?

Not necessary, no, but there's no need for the kernel-devel component on non-development machines - specifically, server machines - and that's why CentOS and Fedora bundle the kernel and kernel-devel separately, so in the same vein as before, why doesn't the OpenVZ project bundle things the same?

Cheers, John.

---