
Subject: Re: strict isolation of net interfaces

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 13:36:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Jun 30, 2006 at 10:56:13AM +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> >

> > The last one in your diagram confuses me - why foo0:1? I would

> > have thought it'd be

>

> just thinking aloud. I thought that any kind/type of interface could be

> mapped from host to guest.

>

> > host | guest 0 | guest 1 | guest2

> > -----+-----+-----+----- -

> > | | | |
> > |-> lo <-----+> lo0 ... | lo0 | lo0

> > | | | |

> > |-> eth0 | | |

> > | | | |

> > |-> veth0 <-----+> eth0 | |

> > | | | |

> > |-> veth1 <-----+-----+-----+> eth0

> > | | | |

> > |-> veth2 <-----+-----+> eth0 |

> >

> > I think we should avoid using device aliases, as trying to do

> > something like giving eth0:1 to guest1 and eth0:2 to guest2

> > while hiding eth0:1 from guest2 requires some uglier code (as

> > I recall) than working with full devices. In other words,

> > if a namespace can see eth0, and eth0:2 exists, it should always

> > see eth0:2.

> >

> > So conceptually using a full virtual net device per container

> > certainly seems cleaner to me, and it seems like it should be

> > simpler by way of statistics gathering etc, but are there actually

> > any real gains? Or is the support for multiple IPs per device

> > actually enough?

> >

> > Herbert, is this basically how ngnet is supposed to work?

hard to tell, we have at least three ngnet prototypes

and basically all variants are covered there, from

separate interfaces which map to real ones to perfect

isolation of addresses assigned to global interfaces

IMHO the 'virtual' interface per guest is fine, as

the overhead and consumed resources are non critical

and it will definitely simplify handling for the guest side

I'd really appreciate if we could find a solution which allows both, isolation and virtualization, and if the bridge scenario is as fast as a direct mapping, I'm perfectly fine with a big bridge + ebtables to handle security issues

best,
Herbert
