Subject: Re: [PATCH] cgroup: Convert synchronize_rcu to call_rcu in cgroup_attach_task
Posted by Colin Cross on Tue, 23 Nov 2010 20:22:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, Nov 23, 2010 at 12:58 AM, Colin Cross <ccross@android.com> wrote:
> On Tue, Nov 23, 2010 at 12:14 AM, Li Zefan <lizf@cn.fujitsu.com> wrote:
>> 12:06, Colin Cross wrote:
>>> The synchronize_rcu call in cgroup_attach_task can be very
>>> expensive. All fastpath accesses to task->cgroups that expect
>>> task->cgroups not to change already use task_lock() or
>>> cgroup_lock() to protect against updates, and, in cgroup.c,
>>> only the CGROUP_DEBUG files have RCU read-side critical
>>> sections.
>>>
>>> sched.c uses RCU read-side-critical sections on task->cgroups,
>>> but only to ensure that a dereference of task->cgroups does
>>> not become invalid, not that it doesn't change.
>>>
>>
>> Other cgroup subsystems also use rcu_read_lock to access task->cgroups,
>> for example net_cls cgroup and device cgroup.
> I believe the same comment applies as sched.c, I'll update the commit message.
>
>> I don't think the performance of task attaching is so critically
>> important that we have to use call_rcu() instead of synchronize_rcu()?
> On my desktop, moving a task between cgroups averages 100 ms, and on
> an Tegra2 SMP ARM platform it takes 20 ms. Moving a task with many
> threads can take hundreds of milliseconds or more. With this patch it
> takes 50 microseconds to move one task, a 400x improvement.
>
>>> This patch adds a function put_css_set_rcu, which delays the
>>> put until after a grace period has elapsed. This ensures that
>>> any RCU read-side critical sections that dereferenced
>>> task->cgroups in sched.c have completed before the css_set is
>>> deleted. The synchronize_rcu()/put_css_set() combo in
>>> cgroup_attach_task() can then be replaced with
>>> put_css_set_rcu().
>>>
>>
>>> Also converts the CGROUP_DEBUG files that access
>>> current->cgroups to use task_lock(current) instead of
>>> rcu_read_lock().
>>>
>>
>> What for? What do we gain from doing this for those debug
>> interfaces?
> Left over from the previous patch that incorrectly dropped RCU

> completely. I'll put the rcu_read_locks back.
>
>>> Signed-off-by: Colin Cross <ccross@android.com>
>>>
>>> ---
>>>
>>> This version fixes the problems with the previous patch by
>>> keeping the use of RCU in cgroup_attach_task, but allowing
>>> cgroup_attach_task to return immediately by deferring the
>>> final put_css_reg to an rcu callback.
>>>
>>> include/linux/cgroup.h | 4 +++
>>> kernel/cgroup.c | 58 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++----------
>>> 2 files changed, 50 insertions(+), 12 deletions(-)
>>
>

This patch has another problem - calling put_css_set_rcu twice before
an rcu grace period has elapsed would not guarantee the appropriate
rcu grace period for the second call. I'll try a new approach, moving
the parts of put_css_set that need to be protected by rcu into
free_css_set_rcu.

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containe rs