
Subject: Re: [patch 2/6] [Network namespace] Network device sharing by view
Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Fri, 30 Jun 2006 01:40:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>> Makes sense for the host side to have naming convention tied
>> to the guest. Example as a prefix: guest0-eth0. Would it not
>> be interesting to have the host also manage these interfaces
>> via standard tools like ip or ifconfig etc? i.e if i admin up
>> guest0-eth0, then the user in guest0 will see its eth0 going
>> up.
>>
> Please no.
> [...]
> Now I am open to radically different designs if they allow the
> implementation cost to be lower and they have clean semantics,
> and don't wind up being an ugly unmaintainable wart on the linux
> networking stack. The only route I could imagine such a thing coming
> from is something like tagging flows, in some netfiler like way.
> Which might allow ifconfig guest-eth0 from the host without problems.
> But I have not seen such a design.
>

Right. New tools to support new features would probably be tidier, anyway.

Sam.
