
Subject: Re: Network namespaces a path to mergable code.
Posted by [Daniel Lezcano](#) on Wed, 28 Jun 2006 21:53:42 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Andrey Savochkin wrote:

- > Ok, fine.
- > Now I'm working on socket code.
- >
- > We still have a question about implicit vs explicit function parameters.
- > This question becomes more important for sockets: if we want to allow to use
- > sockets belonging to namespaces other than the current one, we need to do
- > something about it.
- >
- > One possible option to resolve this question is to show 2 relatively short
- > patches just introducing namespaces for sockets in 2 ways: with explicit
- > function parameters and using implicit current context.
- > Then people can compare them and vote.
- > Do you think it's worth the effort?
- >

The attached patch can have some part interesting for you for the socket tagging. It is in the IPV4 isolation (part 5/6). With this and the private routing table you will probably have a good IPV4 isolation.
