
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/9] namespaces: Introduction
Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Thu, 18 May 2006 19:23:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 18 May 2006 10:34:30 -0700, Andrew Morton <akpm@osdl.org>
wrote:

>I see two ways of justifying a mainline merge of things such as this

>a) We make an up-front decision that Linux will have OS-virtualisation
> capability in the future

After using OpenVZ for a short time, I wonder how I ever managed
without it. For application development and testing, having a little
sandbox with only a few PIDs running makes it easier to debug things.

> and just start putting in place the pieces for that, even if some
> of them are not immediately useful. I suspect that'd be acceptable,
> although I worry that we'd get partway through and some issues would
> come up which are irreconcilable amongst the various groups.

>From a user's POV, I want it ASAP. As for conflicts, why not cross
that bridge when you come to it?
