
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 4/5] utsname namespaces: sysctl hack

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Wed, 19 Apr 2006 18:27:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

>> Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> writes:

>>

>> > Serge,

>> >

>> > can we do nothing with sysctls at this moment, instead of committing hacks?

>>

>> Except that we modify a static table changing the uts behaviour in

>> proc_doutsstring isn't all that bad.

>>

>> I'm just about to start on something more comprehensive, in

>> the sysctl case.

>

> So assuming that I take out the switch(), leaving that for a better

> solution by Eric (or Dave, or whoever),

>

> Is it time to ask for the utsname namespace patch to be tried out

> in -mm?

Can we please suggest a syscall interface?

Eric
