
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 1/7] CGroup API: Add cgroup.api control file
Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Mon, 18 Feb 2008 10:32:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Li Zefan wrote:

> Paul Menage wrote:

>> On Feb 16, 2008 2:07 AM, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>>> Paul Menage wrote:

>>>>

>>>> Hi, Paul,

>>>>

>>>> Do we need to use a cgroup.api file? Why not keep up to date documentation and

>>>> get users to use that. I fear that, cgroup.api will not be kept up-to-date,

>>>> leading to confusion.

>> The cgroup.api file isn't meant to give complete documentation for a

>> control file, simply a brief indication of its usage.

>>

>

> But we don't have /proc/proc.api or /sys/sysfs.api ...

>

Yes, doing that would make the size of the kernel go out of control.

>> The aim is that most bits of the information reported in cgroup.api

>> are auto-generated, so there shouldn't be problems with it getting

>> out-of-date.

>>

>> Is it just the space used by the documentation string that you're

>> objecting to? The other function of the file is to declare a type for

>> each variable.

>>

I like the documentation aspect, it is the space that I object to.

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
