Subject: Re: OLS2008 "namespaces status update" report
Posted by kir on Wed, 16 Jan 2008 16:08:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Guess we can have both. BOF format is a bit different (not too much
time, more people), so better to have mini-summit first.

BTW does anybody knows whether the Kernel Summit will be "attached" to
OLS this year?

Denis V. Lunev wrote:
> By the way, may be it is better to request a BOF rather than mini-summit
> to have a presentation of our work for a "outside" world...
>
> Regards,
> Den
>
> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>
>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>>
>>> Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>>>
>>>> Hi, guys.
>>>>
>>>> We're coming up to the deadline of proposals submissions for
>>>> OLS2008 and I noticed, that we didn't decide whether we're
>>>> going to make some summary report about the namespaces/cgroups
>>>> development status.
>>>>
>>>> I think it worth making this one.
>>>>
>>> OK. Let's work on an abstract.
>>>
>> Fine :) Actually the main question is - who will be
>> responsible for this talk. If nobody objects I can
>> take care of it ;)
>>
>>
>>>> Besides, we can send a request for a mini-summit.
>>>>
>>> Yes !
>>>
>> :)
>>
>>
>>> C.
>>>

>>>
>> Thanks,
>> Pavel
>>
>>
>
>

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers