
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Pid namespaces vs locks interaction

Posted by [Brad Boyer](#) on Sat, 08 Dec 2007 22:21:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Dec 06, 2007 at 09:51:30AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Vitaliy Gusev (vgusev@openvz.org):

> > fl_pid is used by nfs, fuse and gfs2. For instance nfs keeps in fl_pid some

> > unique id to identify locking process between hosts - it is not a process

> > pid.

>

> Ok, but so the struct user_flock->fl_pid is being set to the task's

> virtual pid, while the struct kernel_flock->fl_pid is being set to

> task->tgid for nfsd use.

>

> Why can't nfs just generate a uniqueid from the struct pid when it

> needs it?

>

> Fuse just seems to copy the pid to report it to userspace, so it would

> just copy pid_vnr(kernel_flock->pid) into user_flock->fl_pid.

>

> Anyway I haven't looked at all the uses of struct fl_pid, but you

> can always get the pidnr back from the struct pid if needed so there

> should be no problem.

Perhaps we could add a sysid field like some unix systems have. Here is the flock structure documentation from Sun:

The flock structure contains at least the following elements:

```
short  l_type;    /* lock operation type */
short  l_whence;  /* lock base indicator */
off_t  l_start;   /* starting offset from base */
off_t  l_len;     /* lock length; l_len == 0 means
                  until end of file */
int     l_sysid;  /* system ID running process holding lock */
pid_t  l_pid;     /* process ID of process holding lock */
```

Using the sysid could show that the pid field refers to a separate namespace, and might also be useful for NFS to show that the lock is really held by a process on a different system. This would also be something we could export to user space in a way that some programs are already written to expect and handle properly.

Brad Boyer
flar@allandria.com

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
