
Subject: Re: [RFC][only for review] memory controller background reclaim [4/5]
high/low watermark for memory

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Sat, 01 Dec 2007 17:16:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On Dec 1, 2007 2:45 AM, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>>> which would correspond to a line in the "mem.api" auto-generated control file as

>>>

>> The user is expected to cat "memory.api" in order to figure out how to

>> use the file?

>>

>

> Yes, the very first time they try to use a particular cgroup, if

> they've not bothered to read any documentation about it. There has to

> be some documentation *somewhere*, and putting some of the basic bits

> at a point where they're immediately accessible could be an advantage.

>

> Paul

I worry about the kernel size bloat due to adding documentation in the kernel. I don't think the documentation belongs to the kernel image, but using file names like /proc/sys/vm/min_free_kbytes is a much better idea.

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
