

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH][for -mm] per-zone and reclaim enhancements for memory controller take 3 [3/10] per-zone

Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Thu, 29 Nov 2007 03:18:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 29 Nov 2007 11:24:06 +0900

KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 29 Nov 2007 10:37:02 +0900

> KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> wrote:

>

> > Maybe zonelists of NODE\_DATA() is not initialized. you are right.

> > I think N\_HIGH\_MEMORY will be suitable here...(I'll consider node-hotplug case later.)

> >

> > Thank you for test!

> >

> Could you try this ?

>

Sorry..this can be a workaround but I noticed I miss something..

ok, just use N\_HIGH\_MEMORY here and add comment for hotplugging support is not yet.

Christoph-san, Lee-san, could you confirm following ?

- when SLAB is used, kmalloc\_node() against offline node will success.
- when SLUB is used, kmalloc\_node() against offline node will panic.

Then, the caller should take care that node is online before kmalloc().

Regards,

-Kame

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---