

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] capabilities: introduce per-process capability bounding set (v7)

Posted by [Andrew Morgan](#) on Sat, 17 Nov 2007 04:22:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

>> I also think we should use CAP\_SETPCAP for the privilege of manipulating  
>> the bounding set. In many ways irrevocably removing a permission  
>> requires the same level of due care as adding one (to pl).

>

> Aside from being heavy-handed, it also means that we are restricting the  
> use of per-process capability bounding sets to kernels with file  
> capabilities compiled in, right? Are we ok with that?

>

I am. :-)

Cheers

Andrew

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.2.6 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFHPmyQQheEq9QabfIRAnnAJ0c22LPNc1EnjWyvR4ZrwcYAiJDrgCeOdTj

TJFJwUK7UMkeX5M9ULzbN44=

=LMQP

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---