

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] memory cgroup enhancements updated [10/10] NUMA aware account

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Wed, 24 Oct 2007 14:59:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:

```
> This patch is a trial for making stat for memory_cgroup NUMA-aware.
>
> * dividing per-cpu stat to handle nid information.
> * add nid information to page_cgroup
> * Because init routine has to call kmalloc, init_early is set to be 0.
>
> This is just a trial at this stage. Any comments are welcome.
> Works well on my fake NUMA system.
> I think we can add "numastat" based on this.
>
> Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
>
>
> mm/memcontrol.c | 97 +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++-----
> 1 file changed, 71 insertions(+), 26 deletions(-)
>
> Index: devel-2.6.23-mm1/mm/memcontrol.c
> =====
> --- devel-2.6.23-mm1.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
> +++ devel-2.6.23-mm1/mm/memcontrol.c
> @@ -29,6 +29,7 @@
> #include <linux/spinlock.h>
> #include <linux/fs.h>
> #include <linux/seq_file.h>
> +#include <linux/vmalloc.h>
>
> #include <asm/uaccess.h>
>
> @@ -59,12 +60,18 @@ enum mem_cgroup_stat_index {
> MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS,
> };
>
> +#ifndef CONFIG_NUMA
> struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu {
> - s64 count[MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS];
> + s64 count[1][MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS];
> } ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
> +#else
> +struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu {
> + s64 count[MAX_NUMNODES][MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS];
> +} ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
> +#endif
```

```

>
> struct mem_cgroup_stat {
> - struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu cpustat[NR_CPUS];
> + struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu *cpustat[NR_CPUS];
> };
>
> /*
> @@ -72,28 +79,28 @@ struct mem_cgroup_stat {
> * MUST be called under preempt_disable().
> */
> static inline void __mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> - enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
> + enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int nid, int val)
> {
> int cpu = smp_processor_id();
> #ifdef CONFIG_PREEMPT
> VM_BUG_ON(preempt_count() == 0);
> #endif
> - stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
> + stat->cpustat[cpu]->count[nid][idx] += val;
> }
>
> static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_inc(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> - enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx)
> + enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int nid)
> {
> preempt_disable();
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, 1);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, nid, 1);
> preempt_enable();
> }
>
> static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_dec(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> - enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx)
> + enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int nid)
> {
> preempt_disable();
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, -1);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, nid, -1);
> preempt_enable();
> }
>
> @@ -149,6 +156,7 @@ struct page_cgroup {
> struct list_head lru; /* per cgroup LRU list */
> struct page *page;
> struct mem_cgroup *mem_cgroup;
> + int nid;
> atomic_t ref_cnt; /* Helpful when pages move b/w */

```

```

> /* mapped and cached states */
> int flags;
> @@ -169,21 +177,23 @@ enum {
> * We have to modify several values at charge/uncharge..
> */
> static inline void
> -mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(struct mem_cgroup *mem, int flags, int charge)
> +mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(struct mem_cgroup *mem, int nid,
> + int flags, int charge)
> {
> int val = (charge)? 1 : -1;
> struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat = &mem->stat;
> preempt_disable();
>
> if (flags & PCGF_PAGECACHE)
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_PAGECACHE, val);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat,
> + MEM_CGROUP_STAT_PAGECACHE, nid, val);
> else
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_RSS, val);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_RSS, nid, val);
>
> if (flags & PCGF_ACTIVE)
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE, val);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE, nid, val);
> else
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE, val);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE, nid, val);
>
> preempt_enable();
> }
> @@ -315,8 +325,10 @@ static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(stru
> if (moved) {
> struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat = &mem->stat;
> preempt_disable();
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE, moved);
> - __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE, -moved);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE,
> + pc->nid, moved);
> + __mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE,
> + pc->nid, -moved);
> preempt_enable();
> }
> if (active) {
> @@ -493,10 +505,10 @@ retry:
> bool is_atomic = gfp_mask & GFP_ATOMIC;
> if (is_cache)
> mem_cgroup_stat_inc(&mem->stat,

```

```

> - MEM_CGROUP_STAT_FAIL_CACHE);
> + MEM_CGROUP_STAT_FAIL_CACHE, page_to_nid(page));
> else
> mem_cgroup_stat_inc(&mem->stat,
> - MEM_CGROUP_STAT_FAIL_RSS);
> + MEM_CGROUP_STAT_FAIL_RSS, page_to_nid(page));
> /*
> * We cannot reclaim under GFP_ATOMIC, fail the charge
> */
> @@ -537,6 +549,7 @@ noreclaim:
> atomic_set(&pc->ref_cnt, 1);
> pc->mem_cgroup = mem;
> pc->page = page;
> + pc->nid = page_to_nid(page);
> if (is_cache)
> pc->flags = PCGF_PAGECACHE | PCGF_ACTIVE;
> else
> @@ -554,7 +567,7 @@ noreclaim:
> }
>
> /* Update statistics vector */
> - mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, true);
> + mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->nid, pc->flags, true);
>
> spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
> list_add(&pc->lru, &mem->active_list);
> @@ -621,7 +634,8 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
> spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
> list_del_init(&pc->lru);
> spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
> - mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, false);
> + mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->nid, pc->flags,
> + false);
> kfree(pc);
> }
> }
> @@ -657,6 +671,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_end_migration(struct pag
> void mem_cgroup_page_migration(struct page *page, struct page *newpage)
> {
> struct page_cgroup *pc;
> + struct mem_cgroup *mem;
> retry:
> pc = page_get_page_cgroup(page);
> if (!pc)
> @@ -664,6 +679,11 @@ retry:
> if (clear_page_cgroup(page, pc) != pc)
> goto retry;
> pc->page = newpage;

```

```

> + pc->nid = page_to_nid(newpage);
> + mem = pc->mem_cgroup;
> + mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, page_to_nid(page), pc->flags, false);
> + mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem,
> +   page_to_nid(newpage), pc->flags, true);
>   lock_page_cgroup(newpage);
>   page_assign_page_cgroup(newpage, pc);
>   unlock_page_cgroup(newpage);
> @@ -697,7 +717,8 @@ retry:
>   css_put(&mem->css);
>   res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, PAGE_SIZE);
>   list_del_init(&pc->lru);
> - mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, false);
> + mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, pc->nid,
> +   false);
>   kfree(pc);
> } else /* being uncharged ? ...do relax */
>   break;
> @@ -872,13 +893,16 @@ static int mem_control_stat_show(struct
>   struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat = &mem_cont->stat;
>   int i;
>
> - for (i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE(stat->cpustat[0].count); i++) {
> + for (i = 0; i < MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS; i++) {
>   unsigned int cpu;
> +   int node;
>   s64 val;
>
>   val = 0;
> - for (cpu = 0; cpu < NR_CPUS; cpu++)
> -   val += stat->cpustat[cpu].count[i];
> +   for_each_possible_cpu(cpu)
> +     for_each_node_state(node, N_POSSIBLE)
> +       val += stat->cpustat[cpu]->count[node][i];
> +
>   val *= mem_cgroup_stat_desc[i].unit;
>   seq_printf(m, "%s %lld\n", mem_cgroup_stat_desc[i].msg, val);
> }
> @@ -941,12 +965,14 @@ static struct cgroup_subsys_state *
>   mem_cgroup_create(struct cgroup_subsys *ss, struct cgroup *cont)
> {
>   struct mem_cgroup *mem;
> +   int cpu;
>
>   if (unlikely((cont->parent) == NULL)) {
>     mem = &init_mem_cgroup;
>     init_mm.mem_cgroup = mem;
> - } else

```

```

> + } else {
>   mem = kzalloc(sizeof(struct mem_cgroup), GFP_KERNEL);
> + }
>
> if (mem == NULL)
>   return NULL;
> @@ -956,6 +982,17 @@ mem_cgroup_create(struct cgroup_subsys *
>   INIT_LIST_HEAD(&mem->inactive_list);
>   spin_lock_init(&mem->lru_lock);
>   mem->control_type = MEM_CGROUP_TYPE_ALL;
> +
> + for_each_possible_cpu(cpu) {
> +   int nid = cpu_to_node(cpu);
> +   struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu *mcsc;
> +   if (sizeof(*mcsc) < PAGE_SIZE)
> +     mcsc = kcalloc_node(sizeof(*mcsc), GFP_KERNEL, nid);
> +   else
> +     mcsc = vmalloc_node(sizeof(*mcsc), nid);

```

Do we need to use the vmalloc() pool? I think we might be better off using a dedicated slab for ourselves

```

> + memset(mcsc, 0, sizeof(*mcsc));
> + mem->stat.cputat[cpu] = mcsc;
> + }
>   return &mem->css;
> }
>
> @@ -969,7 +1006,15 @@ static void mem_cgroup_pre_destroy(struc
> static void mem_cgroup_destroy(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
>   struct cgroup *cont)
> {
> - kfree(mem_cgroup_from_cont(cont));
> + struct mem_cgroup *mem = mem_cgroup_from_cont(cont);
> + int cpu;
> + for_each_possible_cpu(cpu) {
> +   if (sizeof(struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu) < PAGE_SIZE)
> +     kfree(mem->stat.cputat[cpu]);
> +   else
> +     vfree(mem->stat.cputat[cpu]);
> + }
> + kfree(mem);
> }
>
> static int mem_cgroup_populate(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
> @@ -1021,5 +1066,5 @@ struct cgroup_subsys mem_cgroup_subsys =
>   .destroy = mem_cgroup_destroy,
>   .populate = mem_cgroup_populate,

```

```
> .attach = mem_cgroup_move_task,  
> - .early_init = 1,  
> + .early_init = 0,
```

I don't understand why this change is required here?

```
> };  
>
```

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
