

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: introduce sys\_hijack (v4)

Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 14:31:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Cedric Le Goater (clg@fr.ibm.com):

```
>
> >> hmm, I'm wondering how this is going to work for a process which
> >> would have unshared its device (pts) namespace. How are we going
> >> to link the pts living in different namespaces if the stdios of the
> >> hijacked process is using them ? like in the case of a shell, which
> >> is certainly something we would like to hijack.
> >>
> >> it looks like a challenge for me. maybe I'm wrong.
> >
> > Might be a problem, but tough to address that until we actually
> > have a dev ns or devpts ns and established semantics.
> >
> > Note the filestruct comes from current, not the hijack target, so
> > presumably we can work around the tty issue in any case by
> > keeping an open file across the hijack?
> >
> > For instance, use the attached modified version of hijack.c
> > which puts a writeable fd for /tmp/helloworld in fd 5, then
> > does hijack, then from the resulting shell do
> >
> > echo ab >&5
> >
> > So we should easily be able to work around it.
>
> yes. it should.
>
> > Or am i missing something?
>
> I guess we need to work a little more on the pts/device namespace
> to see how it interacts.
>
> >>> The effect is a sort of namespace enter. The following program
> >>> uses sys_hijack to 'enter' all namespaces of the specified pid.
> >>> For instance in one terminal, do
> >>>
> >>> mount -t cgroup -ons /cgroup
> >>> hostname
> >>> qemu
> >>> ns_exec -u /bin/sh
> >>> hostname serge
> >>>     echo $$
> >>>     1073
> >>> cat /proc/$$/cgroup
```

```

> >>> ns:/node_1073
> >> Is there a reason to have the 'node_' prefix ? couldn't we just
> >> use $pid ?
> >
> > Good question. It's just how the ns-cgroup does it... If you want to
> > send in a patch to change that, I'll ack it.
>
> just below.
>
> I gave a quick look to the ns subsystem and didn't see how the node_$pid
> was destroyed. do we have to do a rmdir ?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
> C.
>
> Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

```

Thanks.

Acked-by: Serge Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

```

> ---
> kernel/cgroup.c | 2 +-
> 1 file changed, 1 insertion(+), 1 deletion(-)
>
> Index: 2.6.23-mm1/kernel/cgroup.c
> =====
> --- 2.6.23-mm1.orig/kernel/cgroup.c
> +++ 2.6.23-mm1/kernel/cgroup.c
> @@ -2604,7 +2604,7 @@ int cgroup_clone(struct task_struct *tsk
>     cg = tsk->cgroups;
>     parent = task_cgroup(tsk, subsys->subsys_id);
>
> -     snprintf(nodename, MAX_CGROUP_TYPE_NAMELEN, "node_%d", tsk->pid);
> +     snprintf(nodename, MAX_CGROUP_TYPE_NAMELEN, "%d", tsk->pid);
>
>     /* Pin the hierarchy */
>     atomic_inc(&parent->root->sb->s_active);

```

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---