
Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/5] Move the user namespace under the option
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 01 Oct 2007 07:54:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Robert P. J. Day wrote:

> On Thu, 27 Sep 2007, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>

>>> diff --git a/include/linux/user_namespace.h b/include/linux/user_namespace.h

>>> index b5f41d4..dda160c 100644

>>> --- a/include/linux/user_namespace.h

>>> +++ b/include/linux/user_namespace.h

>>> @@ -17,7 +17,7 @@ struct user_namespace {

>>>

>>> extern struct user_namespace init_user_ns;

>>>

>>> -#ifdef CONFIG_USER_NS

>>> +#ifdef CONFIG_NAMESPACES_EXPERIMENTAL

>

> is it really a good precedent to introduce Kconfig variables that

> literally include the word "EXPERIMENTAL"?

How else can we call it? I proposed one config option for each namespace with "depends on EXPERIMENTAL" dependency, but everyone else said that two options are much better.

> rday

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
