

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure  
Posted by [Randy Dunlap](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 15:53:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 11 Sep 2007 21:21:19 +0530 Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> On Tue, Sep 11, 2007 at 08:22:43AM -0700, Randy Dunlap wrote:  
> > That looks odd, like it's a filesystem.  
> > What does cfs really mean?  
>  
> cfs = completely fair scheduler :)  
>  
> In this thread, we are talking of hooking the cfs cpu scheduler with the  
> task-container framework in -mm tree, so that the scheduler can deal  
> with groups of tasks rather than just tasks, while handling fairness of  
> cpu allocation.  
>  
> I agree "cfs" control subsystem does look odd a bit here. "cpu" control  
> subsystem seems better.

Thanks. I agree that using "cpu" is better. I.e., don't tie it  
to a particular scheduler name. It would just need to change the  
next time we have a new scheduler. ;)

---

~Randy

\*\*\* Remember to use Documentation/SubmitChecklist when testing your code \*\*\*

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---