Subject: Re: pid namespace .text overhead
Posted by Dave Hansen on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 15:36:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 2007-09-10 at 19:04 -0700, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:
>
> Thats a good point.
>
> We have been a bit liberal with "inline" given that lot of the code
> was
> going to affect the "fast-path" clone().
>
> Should we shoot for a time/space trade-off or can we make some inlines
> conditional (i.e inline when not configured for say embedded linux) ?

Can we make them switch somehow on -Os? That's what the embedded guys use.

-- Dave

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers