Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by Paul Menage on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 22:45:15 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 9/10/07, Dmitry Adamushko <dmitry.adamushko@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 10/09/2007, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
> > On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:22:59AM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:
> > > objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
> > > read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)
> >
> > Ok! Here's the modified patch (against 2.6.23-rc4-mm1).
>
> as everyone seems to be in a quest for a better name... I think, the
> obvious one would be just 'group_sched'.
>

But "sched" on its own could refer to CPU scheduling, I/O scheduling, network scheduling, ...

And "group" is more or less implied by the fact that it's in the containers/control groups filesystem.

So "group_sched" isn't really all that informative. The name should definitely contain either "cpu" or "cfs".

Paul

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers