
Subject: Re: [-mm PATCH] Memory controller improve user interface (v3)

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Tue, 04 Sep 2007 07:28:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/3/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>> Paul Menage wrote:

>>> On 9/2/07, Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>>>> - s += sprintf(s, "%lu\n", *val);

>>>> + if (read_strategy)

>>>> + s += read_strategy(*val, s);

>>>> + else

>>>> + s += sprintf(s, "%lu\n", *val);

>>> This would be better as %llu

>>>

>> Hi, Paul,

>>

>> This does not need fixing, since the other counters like failcnt are

>> still unsigned long

>>

>

> But val is an unsigned long long*. So printing *val with %lu will

> break (at least a warning, and maybe corruption if you had other

> parameters) on 32-bit archs.

>

Yeah... Hmm.. just wonder if all the counters should be unsigned long long? failcnt is the only remaining unsigned long counter now.

--

Warm Regards,

Balbir Singh

Linux Technology Center

IBM, ISTL

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
