

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] Signal semantics for /sbin/init  
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Sat, 01 Sep 2007 11:02:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 08/31, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

```
>  
> -static int sig_ignored(struct task_struct *t, int sig)  
> + // Currently this check is a bit racy with exec(),  
> + // we can _simplify_ de_thread and close the race.  
> + if (likely(!is_container_init(tsk->group_leader)))  
> + return 0;  
> +  
> + if (!in_interrupt())  
> + return 0;
```

I don't understand why you are trying to mix this patch with pid\_ns changes.

We don't need in\_interrupt() check unless we use current to decide if the signal goes from the parent namespace.

And in fact, I'd personally prefer to use "is\_global\_init()" for this patch, because it hopefully can fix the problems we have even without namespaces. This also matches the current check in get\_signal\_to\_deliver().

Oleg.

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---