Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] Signal semantics for /sbin/init
Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Sat, 01 Sep 2007 11:02:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 08/31, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:
>
> -static int sig_ignored(struct task_struct *t, int sig)
> + // Currently this check is a bit racy with exec(),
> + // we can _simplify_ de_thread and close the race.
> + if (likely(!is_container_init(tsk->group_leader)))
> + return 0;
> +
> + if (!in_interrupt())
> + return 0;

I don't understand why you are trying to mix this patch with pid_ns changes.

We don't need in_interrupt() check unless we use current do decide if the
signal goes from the parent namespace.

And in fact, I'd personally prefer to use "is_global_init()" for this patch,
because it hopefully can fix the problems we have even without namespaces.
This also matches the current check in get_signal_to_deliver().

Oleg.

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers