
Subject: Re: nptl perf bench and profiling with pidns patchsets
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Wed, 13 Jun 2007 09:27:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> Pavel Emelianov wrote:

>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>>> Pavel and all,

>> [snip]

>>

>>> findings are :

>>>

>>> * definitely better results for suka's patchset. suka's patchset is

>>> also getting better results with unixbench on a 2.6.22-rc1-mm1 but

>>> the values are really dispersed. can you confirm ?

>>> * suka's patchset would benefit from some optimization in init_upid()

>>> and dup_struct_pid()

>> We have found the reason why Suka's patches showed better performance.

>> Some time ago I sent a letter saying that proc_flush_task() actually

>> never worked with his patches - that's the main problem. After removing

>> this call from my patches the results turned to those similar to my.

>>

>> I'd also like to note that broken-out set of patches is not git bisect

>> safe at all. The very first patch of his own OOPSes the node. Some

>> subsequent patches contain misprints that break the compilation, etc.

>>

>> So I ask you again - let us prepare our patches again and compare the

>> performance one more time.

>

> OK. that's fine with me.

>

> I'm not exactly in a neutral zone but I have the blades ready for the

> next drop of patches. I'll torture them if you don't mind.

I do not :) I am going to send my view of pid namespaces this evening or tomorrow morning (I am in GMT+3 time zone :)). Are you going to fix your patches for comparison?

> C.

>

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
