
Subject: Re: nptl perf bench and profiling with pidns patchsets
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Tue, 12 Jun 2007 16:57:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel Emelianov wrote:

> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

>> Pavel and all,

>

> [snip]

>

>> findings are :

>>

>> * definitely better results for suka's patchset. suka's patchset is

>> also getting better results with unixbench on a 2.6.22-rc1-mm1 but

>> the values are really dispersed. can you confirm ?

>> * suka's patchset would benefit from some optimization in init_upid()

>> and dup_struct_pid()

>

> We have found the reason why Suka's patches showed better performance.

> Some time ago I sent a letter saying that proc_flush_task() actually

> never worked with his patches - that's the main problem. After removing

> this call from my patches the results turned to those similar to my.

>

> I'd also like to note that broken-out set of patches is not git bisect

> safe at all. The very first patch of his own OOPSes the node. Some

> subsequent patches contain misprints that break the compilation, etc.

>

> So I ask you again - let us prepare our patches again and compare the

> performance one more time.

OK. that's fine with me.

I'm not exactly in a neutral zone but I have the blades ready for the next drop of patches. I'll torture them if you don't mind.

C.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
