
Subject: Re: nptl perf bench and profiling with pidns patchsets
Posted by [Alexey Dobriyan](#) on Sat, 09 Jun 2007 08:33:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sat, Jun 09, 2007 at 12:10:25PM +0400, Pavel Emelianov wrote:

> > * definitely better results for suka's patchset. suka's patchset is
> > also getting better results with unixbench on a 2.6.22-rc1-mm1 but
> > the values are really dispersed. can you confirm ?
> > * suka's patchset would benefit from some optimization in init_upid()
> > and dup_struct_pid()
>
> We have found the reason why Suka's patches showed better performance.
> Some time ago I sent a letter saying that proc_flush_task() actually
> never worked with his patches - that's the main problem. After removing
> this call from my patches the results turned to those similar to my.
>
> I'd also like to note that broken-out set of patches is not git bisect
> safe at all. The very first patch of his own OOPSes the node.

FWIW, it's EIP is at forget_original_parent+0x25 on boot

Process: khelper

exit_notify

do_exit

copy_vm86_regs_to_user

kernel_execve

____call_usermodehelper

...

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
