

---

Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH] Virtual ethernet tunnel  
Posted by [Benjamin Thery](#) on Thu, 07 Jun 2007 09:30:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Miller wrote:

> From: Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru>

> Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2007 12:14:29 +0400

>

>> David Miller wrote:

>>> From: Pavel Emelianov <xemul@openvz.org>

>>> Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2007 19:11:38 +0400

>>>

>>>

>>>> Veth stands for Virtual ETHERnet. It is a simple tunnel driver

>>>> that works at the link layer and looks like a pair of ethernet

>>>> devices interconnected with each other.

>>>

>>> I would suggest choosing a different name.

>>>

>>> 'veth' is also the name of the virtualized ethernet device

>>> found on IBM machines, driven by driver/net/ibmveth.[ch]

>> AFAICS, ibmveth.c registers ethX devices, while this driver registers

>> vethX by default, so there is no much conflict IMHO.

>

> If that's the case, veth is fine with me.

I like Daniel's proposals with the tunnel or pipe thing in the name.

I think it is more explicit about what the device really is.

I'm currently using etun, Eric Biederman's implementation. It will be nice to have this kind of device merged.

-- Benjamin

--

B e n j a m i n   T h e r y - BULL/DT/Open Software R&D

<http://www.bull.com>

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---