

---

Subject: Re: Pid namespaces approaches testing results  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2007 21:16:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> The flat model has many optimization ways in comparison with the multilevel  
> one. Like we can cache the pid value on structs and some other.  
>  
> Moreover having generic level nesting sounds reasonable. Having single level  
> nesting - too as all the namespace we have are single nested. But having the  
> 4 level nesting sounds strange... Why 4? Why not 5? What if I don't know how  
> many I will need exactly, but do know that it will be definitely more than 1?  
>  
> Moreover - I have shown that we can have 1% or less performance on generic  
> nesting model, why not keep it?

did you send that patchset ? is it included in the one you sent ?

sorry if i missed something :(

C.

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---