
Subject: Re: [patch 0/8] unprivileged mount syscall
Posted by [Karel Zak](#) on Fri, 13 Apr 2007 20:07:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Apr 13, 2007 at 01:58:59PM +0200, Miklos Szeredi wrote:

> > On Wed, 2007-04-11 at 12:44 +0200, Miklos Szeredi wrote:

> > > 1. clone the master namespace.

> > > >

> > > 2. in the new namespace

> > > >

> > > move the tree under /share/\$me to /

> > > for each (\$user, \$what, \$how) {

> > > move /share/\$user/\$what to /\$what

> > > if (\$how == slave) {

> > > make the mount tree under /\$what as slave

> > > }

> > > }

> > > >

> > > 3. in the new namespace make the tree under

> > > /share as private and unmount /share

> > >

> > > Thanks. I get the basic idea now: the namespace itself need not be

> > > shared between the sessions, it is enough if "share" propagation is

> > > set up between the different namespaces of a user.

> > >

> > > I don't yet see either in your or Viro's description how the trees

> > > under /share/\$USER are initialized. I guess they are recursively

> > > bound from /, and are made slaves.

> >

> > yes. I suppose, when a userid is created one of the steps would be

> >

> > mount --rbind / /share/\$USER

> > mount --make-rslave /share/\$USER

> > mount --make-rshared /share/\$USER

>

> Thinking a bit more about this, I'm quite sure most users wouldn't

> even want private namespaces. It would be enough to

>

> chroot /share/\$USER

>

> and be done with it.

I don't think so. How to you want to implement non-shared /tmp
directories? The chroot is overkill in this case. See:

<http://www.coker.com.au/selinux/talks/sage-2006/PolyInstantiatedDirectories.html>
<http://danwalsh.livejournal.com/>

> Private namespaces are only good for keeping a bunch of mounts
> referenced by a group of processes. But my guess is, that the natural
> behavior for users is to see a persistent set of mounts.
>
> If for example they mount something on a remote machine, then log out
> from the ssh session and later log back in, they would want to see
> their previous mount still there.

They can mount to /mnt where the directory is shared ("mount
--make-shared /mnt") and visible and all namespaces.

I think /share/\$USER is an extreme example. You can found more
situations when private namespaces are nice solution.

Karel

--

Karel Zak <kzak@redhat.com>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
