

---

Subject: Re: Screamm.. commit f400e198b2ed26ce55b22a1412ded0896e7516ac  
Posted by [serue](#) on Thu, 29 Mar 2007 13:18:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Serge E. Hallyn ([serue@us.ibm.com](mailto:serue@us.ibm.com)):

> Quoting Eric W. Biederman ([ebiederm@xmission.com](mailto:ebiederm@xmission.com)):

> >

> > This is just to vent.

> >

> > I was clearly not auditing patches well enough earlier and the above

> > patch got modified since the version I wrote initially. Adding a

> > few addition is\_init calls where what we care about test is not

> > is the real init process of the system (so we should treat it with

> > care) but is this the pid == 1 in my pid namespace.

> >

> > So now we (or maybe me) are going to have to through all of those

> > is\_init references and undo the changes for the ones that don't

> > apply to the global init.

> >

> > Guys, please, please be more careful.

> >

> > The one that set me off is the one in will\_become\_orphaned\_pgrp.

> >

> > Eric

By the way thanks for catching this. Clearly it slipped right past the rest of us!

-serge

> Yup. Looks like ambiguous naming once again hid some real (future)

> bugs. This is of course safe so far in mainline, but needs to be split

> into

>

> static inline int is\_global\_init(struct task\_struct \*tsk)

> {

> return (tsk == &init\_task);

> }

>

> and

>

> static inline int

> is\_container\_init(struct task\_struct \*task, struct pid\_namespace \*ns)

> {

> return (\_\_pid\_nr(task, ns) == 1);

> }

>

> Where the latter is needed in, for instance, kernel/capability.c.

>  
> -serge

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---