
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 5/6] Define helper functions to unshare pid namespace
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Sun, 11 Mar 2007 11:47:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

sukadev@us.ibm.com writes:

> From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>
> Subject: [RFC][PATCH 5/6] Define helper functions to unshare pid namespace
>
> Define clone_pid_ns() and unshare_pid_ns() functions that will be
> used in the next patch to unshare pid namespace.
>
> Changelog:
> - Rewrite of original code in -lxc from Cedric Le Goater to enforce
> setsid() requirement on unshare().

Why do we need a setsid() before we unshare?

I know it is almost always the correct thing to do but what requires the setsid?

Doing the setsid before we switch pid namespaces appears the wrong order to me.

I am not convinced that unshare can be done safely for a pid namespace. Changing the meaning or definition of pid on a running process is questionable.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.osdl.org

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
