

---

Subject: Re: Pid namespace patchsets review  
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Sat, 10 Mar 2007 06:05:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It is good to see these patches are starting to come together.

Be patient a good review is going to take me a little bit.

A couple of immediate things I see that would be nice to address before we aim at merging these patches upstream.

- Since there are known cases that we still need to convert to use struct pid can we disable the clone/unshare unless we have the CONFIG\_EXPERIMENTAL flag set. And a comment in Kconfig saying we are almost but not quite there yet. With that in place I would have no problems with the idea of merging all of the bits needed to have multiple pid namespaces before we finish making the code pid namespace safe.
- When we do the rename can we please rename it task\_proxy and have the functions follow that naming. The resource limiting conversation seems to be going in that direction, and it more general then what we are using now.
- At a first skim the patches didn't quite feel like they were git-bisect safe. I haven't looked closely enough to be certain yet.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---