

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] rcfs core patch

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Thu, 01 Mar 2007 16:46:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, Mar 01, 2007 at 10:31:26AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> we've already had some trouble with nsproxy holding things with  
> different lifetimes. As it happens the solution this time was to put  
> the pid namespace where it belongs - not in nsproxy - so maybe moving  
> this info into nsproxies will be fine, it just rings a warning bell.

nsproxy seems the best place to me to hang off the resource control  
objects (nsproxy->ctrl\_data[]). These objects provide information like  
resource limit etc required by the resource controllers.

Alternately we could move them to task\_struct which would cause unnecessary  
duplication of pointers (and wastage of space).

--

Regards,  
vatsa

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---