
Subject: Re: [PATCH 7/8] user ns: handle file sigio
Posted by [serue](#) on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 15:32:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Andrew Morton (akpm@osdl.org):

> On Wed, 24 Jan 2007 12:58:45 -0600

> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> > > If we need to I can see doing something special if the process setting
> > > fown has CAP_KILL
> >
> > Obviously CAP_KILL is insufficient :) I assume you mean a new
> > CAP_XNS_CAP_KILL?
> >
> > > and bypassing the security checks that way, but
> > > hard coding rules like that when it doesn't appear we have any
> > > experience to indicate we need the extra functionality looks
> > > premature.
> >
> > Ok, in this case actually I suspect you're right and we can just ditch
> > the exception. But in general the security discussion is one we should
> > still have.
>
> People like security.
>
> Where do we now stand with this patch, and with "[PATCH 4/8] user ns: hook permission"?

Later today I can send a patch against this set which removes the
the init_task exceptions (out of patch 3 and patch 7), but I'd prefer
to leave the MS_SHARED_NS option (patch 6) in.

thanks,
-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
