

---

Subject: Re: [PATCHSET] 2.6.20-rc4-mm1-lxc2  
Posted by [Daniel Lezcano](#) on Tue, 16 Jan 2007 23:48:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> All,  
>  
> We've been gathering and porting patches related to namespaces in  
> a lxc patchset for a while now. Mostly working on the network  
> namespace which will require some extra work to be usable.  
>  
> \* It's available here :  
>  
> <http://www.sr71.net/patches/2.6.20/2.6.20-rc4-mm1-lxc2/>  
>  
> \* Caveats :  
>  
> namespace syscalls are still under construction.  
>  
> network namespace is broken :  
>  
> . the nsproxy backpointer in net\_ns is flaky.  
> . the push\_net\_ns() and pop\_net\_ns() can be called under  
> irq and are using current. this seems inappropriate.  
> . there is a race on ->nsproxy between push\_net\_ns() and  
> exit\_task\_namespaces()

Hi Dmitry,

we are experiencing NULL address access when using the nsproxy in  
push\_net\_ns function without any unshare.

It appears the exit\_task\_namespace function sets current->nsproxy to  
NULL and we are interrupted by an incoming packet. The netif\_receive\_skb  
does push\_net\_ns(dev->net\_ns). The push\_net\_ns function retrieves the  
current->nsproxy to use it. But it was previously set to NULL by the  
exit\_task\_namespace function.

The bug can be reproduced with the following command launched from  
another host.

```
while $(true); do ssh myaddress ls > /dev/null && echo -n .; done
```

After a time (between 1 second - 3 minutes), the kernel panics.

I think this will be very hard to fix and perhaps we should redesign  
some part. Instead of using nsproxy swapping, perhaps we should pass  
net\_ns as parameter to functions, but that will break a lot of API.

What is your feeling on that ?

Regards.

-- Daniel.

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.osdl.org

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---