

---

Subject: Re: [patch -mm 08/17] nsproxy: add hashtable  
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Thu, 14 Dec 2006 13:17:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

>> Let me try : you would add a 'struct pid pid' field to all namespaces and  
>> assign that 'pid' field with the struct pid of the task creating the  
>> namespace ?  
>  
> Yes a struct pid \*pid field, that we did the proper reference counting  
> on.

sure.

> As for which pid to assign, that is a little trickier. The struct pid  
> of the task creating the namespace is the obvious choice and that will  
> always work for clone. For unshare that would only work if we added  
> the restriction you can't unshare if someone already has used that pid  
> for that kind of namespace.

clone will also be an issue if more than one namespace is unshared. Do  
you use the same 'struct pid\*' for each namespace ? hmm, it feels also  
wrong.

having an id field in the namespace and using a bind\_ns like syscall  
to let the user assign whatever id he wants to, doesn't seem to be  
such a bad idea.

C.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.osdl.org  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---